

Resolve the *identity*. Then screen.

THE PROBLEM

Legacy screening tools flag a high share of customers as potential matches against watchlist names. Almost all the alerts are false positives, not the sanctioned person at all. For many teams, the majority of alerts are still cleared manually, even as AI-driven synthetic identities and fraud attempts hit screening queues at unprecedented rates.

WHY THIS HAS BEEN A PAIN POINT

The match architecture is the cause. Legacy screening tools match the customer's name against the watchlist name alone. They don't use the rest of the identity context an organization already has – date of birth, address, residential history, device, employment, the relationships in the organization's identity graph. The tool flags an alert, and an analyst spends twelve minutes per case doing manual reconciliation the platform could have done automatically.

WHERE IT HURTS

Compliance teams are overwhelmed.

Surging false positives force teams to swell headcount without meaningfully reducing or clearing the alert backlog.

True risk getting buried.

Real sanctioned individuals with name variants slip through while teams chase false positives.

Friction on real customers.

The surge in alerts and the uncertainty in clearing false positives makes compliance a brake on revenue growth and expansion into new markets and geographies.

See what false-positive reduction looks like on *your own data*.

Identity-resolved sanctions screening, the difference between asking "is this name on a list?" and asking "is this specific person on the list?"

WHAT SOCURE DOES

Dual scoring on a resolved identity.

- **Dual scoring (name match score + entity correlation score)** – drives down false positives so people can focus on the alerts that matter, no precision traded away.
- **Name match score** – an advanced LSTM name-matching model resolves tricky variants that traditional fuzzy matching methods miss.
- **Entity correlation score** – Patent-pending entity matching evaluates the likelihood that the user and the matched entity are the same person by evaluating additional PII elements, date of birth, address, employment, device, residential history, identity-graph relationships.
- **40B+ historical outcomes** in the Identity Graph anchor every match to a resolved person.

IN NUMBERS

-78%

False positive reduction on customer baseline

99.8%

Name-match accuracy on degraded / transliterated names

80%

reduction in manual review time

[LEARN MORE](#)socure.com/watchlist[TALK TO OUR TEAM](#)socure.com/demo